arXiv:1408.4165v1 [math.NT] 18 Aug 2014

THE INFIMUM IN THE METRIC MAHLER MEASURE

CHARLES L. SAMUELS

Abstract. Dubickas and Smyth defined the metric Mahler measure on the multiplicative group of non-zero algebraic numbers. The definition involves taking an infimum over representations of an algebraic number α by other algebraic numbers. We verify their conjecture that the infimum in its definition is always achieved as well as establish its analog for the ultrametric Mahler measure.

1. Introduction

Let K be a number field and v a place of K dividing the place p of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let K_v and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ denote the respective completions. We write $\|\cdot\|_v$ for the unique absolute value on K_v extending the p-adic absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and define

$$|\alpha|_v = \|\alpha\|_v^{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_p]/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

for all $\alpha \in K$. Define the *Weil height* of $\alpha \in K$ by

$$H(\alpha) = \prod_v \max\{1, |\alpha|_v\}$$

where the product is taken over all places v of K. Given this normalization of our absolute values, the above definition does not depend on K, and therefore, H is a well-defined function on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Clearly $H(\alpha) \geq 1$, and by Kronecker's Theorem, we have equality precisely when α is zero or a root of unity. It is obvious that if ζ is a root of unity then

$$H(\alpha) = H(\zeta\alpha), \tag{1.1}$$

and further, if n is an integer then it is well-known that

$$H(\alpha^n) = H(\alpha)^{|n|}. \tag{1.2}$$

Also, if $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ then $H(\alpha\beta) \leq H(\alpha)H(\beta)$ so that H satisfies the multiplicative triangle inequality.

We further define the *Mahler measure* of an algebraic number α by

$$M(\alpha) = H(\alpha)^{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]}.$$

Since H is invariant under Galois conjugation over $\mathbb{Q}$, we obtain immediately

$$M(\alpha) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} H(\alpha_n), \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R04, 11R09.
Key words and phrases. Weil height, Mahler measure, metric Mahler measure, Lehmer's problem.

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$ are the conjugates of α over $\mathbb{Q}$. Further, it is well-known that

$$M(\alpha) = |A| \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{N} \max\{1, |\alpha_n|\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual absolute value on $\mathbb{C}$. While the right hand side of (1.4) appears initially to depend upon a particular embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\mathbb{C}$, any change of embedding simply permutes the images of the points $\{\alpha_n\}$ so that (1.4) remains unchanged.

It follows, again from Kronecker's Theorem, that $M(\alpha) = 1$ if and only if α is zero or a root of unity. As part of an algorithm for computing large primes, D.H. Lehmer [5] asked whether there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $M(\alpha) \geq c$ in all other cases. The smallest known Mahler measure greater than 1 occurs at a root of

$$\ell(x) = x^{10} + x^9 - x^7 - x^6 - x^5 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$$

which has Mahler measure $1.17\ldots$. Although an affirmative answer to Lehmer's problem has been given in many special cases, the general case remains open. The best known universal lower bound on $M(\alpha)$ is due to Dobrowolski [1], who proved that

$$\log M(\alpha) \gg \left(\frac{\log\log \deg \alpha}{\log \deg \alpha} \right)^3 \tag{1.5}$$

whenever α is not a root of unity.

Recently, Dubickas and Smyth [2] defined the *metric Mahler measure* of an algebraic number α by

$$M_1(\alpha) = \inf \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N},\ \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times},\ \alpha = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \right\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Here, the infimum is taken over all ways to represent α as a product of elements in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$. It is easily verified that

$$M_1(\alpha\beta) \leq M_1(\alpha) M_1(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$, and further, M_1 is well-defined on the quotient group $\mathcal{G} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}/\mathrm{Tor}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times})$. This implies that the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \log M_1(\alpha\beta^{-1})$ defines a metric on $\mathcal{G}$ which induces the discrete topology if and only if there is an affirmative answer to Lehmer's problem.

Also in [2], Dubickas and Smyth conjecture that the infimum in the definition of M_1 is always achieved. We verify this conjecture as well as explicitly determine a set in which the infimum must occur.

If K is any number field let

$$\mathrm{Rad}(K) = \left\{ \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} : \alpha^r \in K \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

the set of all roots of points in K. Also, for the remainder of this paper, we write K_α for the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 1.1. *If α is a non-zero algebraic number then there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ and $M_1(\alpha) = M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N)$.*

Motivated by the work of Dubickas and Smyth, Fili and the author [4] defined a non-Archimedean version of M_1 by replacing the product in (1.6) by a maximum. That is, define the *ultrametric Mahler measure* by

$$M_\infty(\alpha) = \inf\left\{ \max_{1\le n\le N} M(\alpha_n) : N\in\mathbb{N},\ \alpha_n\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times,\ \alpha = \prod_{n=1}^{N}\alpha_n \right\}.$$

It easily verified that M_∞ satisfies the strong triangle inequality

$$M_\infty(\alpha\beta) \le \max\{M_\infty(\alpha), M_\infty(\beta)\}$$

for all non-zero algebraic numbers α and β. It is further shown in [4] that M_∞ is well-defined on the quotient group $\mathcal{G}$. We can now establish the obvious analog of Theorem 1.1 for M_∞.

Theorem 1.2. *If α is a non-zero algebraic number then there exist $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N\in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha=\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_N$ and $M_\infty(\alpha)=\max\{M(\alpha_1),\dots,M(\alpha_N)\}$.*

The remainder of this paper is organized in the following way. Section 2 contains the core of our argument in which we show that computing $M_1(\alpha)$ and $M_\infty(\alpha)$ requires only the use of elements in $\mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$. In section 3, we finish the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by showing, essentially, that there are only finitely many values for the Mahler measure in $\mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$. Finally, section 4 contains some applications of these results, giving the location of the algebraic numbers $M_1(\alpha)$ and $M_\infty(\alpha)$.

2. Reducing to simpler representations

The main idea in both proofs involves a method for replacing an arbitrary representation of α by a potentially smaller representation containing only points in $\mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$. This technique is summarized by the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\alpha,\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N$ are non-zero algebraic numbers with $\alpha=\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_N$ then there exists a root of unity ζ and algebraic numbers $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_N$ satifying*

(i) $\alpha=\zeta\beta_1\cdots\beta_N$,
(ii) $\beta_n\in\mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ *for all* n,
(iii) $M(\beta_n)\le M(\alpha_n)$ *for all* n.

It is worth noting that we are unaware of an example in which computing $M_1(\alpha)$ or $M_\infty(\alpha)$ requires the use of elements outside K_α. Hence, it seems reasonable to believe that we can, in fact, choose the points β_n to belong to K_α. Unfortunately, our proof suggests no way to verify this.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that K is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. If γ is an algebraic number then*

$$[K(\gamma):K] = [\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)]. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N}\gamma_n \in K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma), \tag{2.2}$$

where $\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_N$ are the conjugates of γ over K.

Proof. We see clearly that $K(\gamma)$ is the compositum of K and $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. Since K is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, it follows (see [3], p. 505, Prop. 19) that $[K(\gamma) : K] = [\mathbb{Q}(\gamma) : K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)]$, verifying (2.1). We also observe that

$$(K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma))(\gamma) \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}(\gamma))(\gamma) = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) \subseteq (K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma))(\gamma)$$

so we conclude from (2.1) that

$$[K(\gamma) : K] = [(K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma))(\gamma) : K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)]. \tag{2.3}$$

Let f be the monic minimal polynomial of γ over $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ so that f has degree D equal to both sides of (2.3). Now write

$$f(x) = x^D + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

and note that f is, of course, a polynomial over K. In fact, f is the monic minimal polynomial of γ over K because it vanishes at γ and has degree $[K(\gamma) : K]$. Since $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N$ are the conjugates of γ over K we conclude that

$$\prod_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n = \pm a_0$$

which belongs to $K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. □

It is worth observing that if $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, then Lemma 2.2 becomes trivial. Indeed, $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N$ certainly belongs to K by definition. But also, if $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ is Galois, then $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ contains all conjugates of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, it contains γ_n for all n, so it contains their product as well. Of course, the proof of Theorem 2.1 does not permit such a hypothesis, so we require the above lemma.

Additionally, we cannot omit the hypothesis that K be Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$. For example, let γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 be the roots of a third degree, irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ having Galois group S_3. This means that $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_2) = \mathbb{Q}$. Further, we observe that γ_2 must have degree 2 over $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1)$ implying that its conjugates over this field are γ_2 and γ_3. But if $\gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_2)$ then $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_2)$, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ and let E be a Galois extension of K_α containing α_n for all n. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K_\alpha)$, $G_n = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K_\alpha(\alpha_n))$ and S_n a set of left coset representatives of G_n in G. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{[E:K_\alpha]} &= \mathrm{Norm}_{E/K_\alpha}(\alpha) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathrm{Norm}_{E/K_\alpha}(\alpha_n) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\alpha_n) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{\tau \in G_n} \sigma(\tau(\alpha_n)) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n)^{|G_n|}
\end{aligned}$$

so we conclude that

$$\alpha^{[E:K_\alpha]} = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \right)^{[E:K_\alpha(\alpha_n)]}. \tag{2.4}$$

For each n, we select an element $\beta_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\beta_n^{[K_\alpha(\alpha_n):K_\alpha]} = \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \tag{2.5}$$

so that, in view of (2.4), we obtain

$$\alpha^{[E:K_\alpha]} = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n^{[E:K_\alpha]}. \tag{2.6}$$

This implies the existence of a root of unity ζ such that

$$\alpha = \zeta \beta_1 \cdots \beta_N.$$

Furthermore, the set $\{\sigma(\alpha_n) : \sigma \in S_n\}$ is precisely the set of conjugates of α_n over K_α so that

$$\prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \in K_\alpha.$$

It then follows from (2.5) that $\beta_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ for each n as well.

It remains to show that $M(\beta_n) \leq M(\alpha_n)$ for all n. To see this, we note that (2.5) yields immediately

$$\deg(\beta_n) \leq [K_\alpha(\alpha_n) : K_\alpha] \cdot \deg \left(\prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \right). \tag{2.7}$$

Once again, the elements $\sigma(\alpha_n)$ for $\sigma \in S_n$ are precisely the conjugates of α_n over K_α. Hence, we may apply Lemma 2.2 (2.1) to find that

$$\prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \in K_\alpha \cap \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n).$$

Combining this with (2.7), we obtain

$$\deg(\beta_n) \leq [K_\alpha(\alpha_n) : K_\alpha] \cdot [K_\alpha \cap \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n) : \mathbb{Q}]. \tag{2.8}$$

Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} M(\beta_n) &\leq H(\beta_n)^{[K_\alpha(\alpha_n):K_\alpha]\cdot[K_\alpha\cap\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &= H\left(\prod_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(\alpha_n) \right)^{[K_\alpha\cap\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &\leq H(\alpha_n)^{[K_\alpha(\alpha_n):K_\alpha]\cdot[K_\alpha\cap\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows since the Weil height is invariant under Galois conjugation and satisfies the triangle inequality. But also $K_\alpha(\alpha_n)$ is the compositum of K_α and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)$ so that $[K_\alpha(\alpha_n) : K_\alpha] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n) : K_\alpha \cap \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)]$ by (2.1) in Lemma 2.2. This yields

$$M(\beta_n) \leq H(\alpha_n)^{[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):K_\alpha\cap\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n)]\cdot[K_\alpha\cap\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n):\mathbb{Q}]} = M(\alpha_n)$$

which completes the proof. □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In view of Theorem 2.1, it is enough, in the definitions of M_1 and M_∞, to consider only representations $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ having $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ for all n. Any representation that fails to have this property may simply be replaced a smaller represenation that does. The remainder of our proofs of both Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 require us to show that such representations yield only finitely many different values for

$$\max_{1\le n\le N} M(\alpha_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n).$$

The following lemma provides the starting point for this argument.

Lemma 3.1. *Let K be a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{Rad}(K)$ then there exists a root of unity ζ and $L, S \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\zeta\gamma^L \in K$ and*

$$M(\gamma) = M(\zeta\gamma^L)^S.$$

In particular, the set

$$\{M(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathrm{Rad}(K),\ M(\gamma) \le B\}$$

is finite for every $B \ge 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma^r \in K$, so that each conjugate of γ over K must be a root of $x^r - \gamma^r \in K[x]$. Therefore, we may assume that γ has conjugates

$$\zeta_1\gamma, \dots, \zeta_L\gamma$$

over K for some roots of unity $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_L$. By Lemma 2.2 we conclude that

$$\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L\gamma^L = \zeta_1\gamma \cdots \zeta_L\gamma \in K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma). \tag{3.1}$$

Since K is Galois, Lemma 2.2 also implies that $L = [K(\gamma) : K] = [\mathbb{Q}(\gamma) : K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)]$. Hence, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} M(\gamma) &= H(\gamma)^{[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &= H(\gamma)^{[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)]\cdot[K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]} \\ &= H(\gamma)^{L\cdot[K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since L is a positive integer and $\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L$ is a root of unity, we conclude from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$M(\gamma) = H(\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L\gamma^L)^{[K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) we know that there exists a positive integer S such that

$$[K \cap \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) : \mathbb{Q}] = S \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L\gamma^L) : \mathbb{Q}]$$

and so (3.2) yields

$$M(\gamma) = H(\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L\gamma^L)^{S\cdot[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_1\cdots\zeta_L\gamma^L):\mathbb{Q}]} = M(\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L\gamma^L)^S.$$

Taking $\zeta = \zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_L$ we have that $\zeta\gamma^L \in K$ by (3.1) and $M(\gamma) = M(\zeta\gamma^L)^S$ which establishes the first statement of the lemma.

Further, we note that (3.2) implies that

$$M(\gamma) = H((\zeta\gamma^L)^{[K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]}).$$

But $(\zeta\gamma^L)^{[K\cap\mathbb{Q}(\gamma):\mathbb{Q}]} \in K$ implying that

$$\{M(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathrm{Rad}(K),\ M(\gamma) \le B\} \subseteq \{H(\alpha) : \alpha \in K^\times,\ H(\alpha) \le B\}. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from Northcott's Theorem [6] that the right hand side of (3.3) is finite, completing the proof. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is somewhat simpler than that of Theorem 1.1 so we include it here first.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ with $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ and

$$M_\infty(\alpha) \le \max\{M(\alpha_1), \ldots, M(\alpha_N)\} \le M_\infty(\alpha) + \varepsilon$$

then $M_\infty(\alpha) = \max\{M(\alpha_1), \ldots, M(\alpha_N)\}$. Otherwise, we get a sequence $\{x_m\} \subseteq \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ such that $\{M(x_m)\}$ is strictly decreasing, contradicting Lemma 3.1.

By definition, there exists a representation $\alpha = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N$ with

$$M_\infty(\alpha) \le \max\{M(\gamma_1), \ldots, M(\gamma_N)\} \le M_\infty(\alpha) + \varepsilon.$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a representation $\alpha = \zeta\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ such that ζ is a root of unity, $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ and and $M(\alpha_n) \le M(\gamma_n)$ for all n. This yields

$$M_\infty(\alpha) \le \max\{M(\alpha_1), \ldots, M(\alpha_N)\} \le M_\infty(\alpha) + \varepsilon$$

so that $M_\infty(\alpha) = \max\{M(\alpha_1), \ldots, M(\alpha_N)\}$ by our earlier remarks. □

We note that the above proof is not sufficient to establish Theorem 1.1. Indeed, Lemma 3.1 does not prevent the product $M(\alpha_1)\cdots M(\alpha_N)$ from having infinitely many values between $M_1(\alpha)$ and $M_1(\alpha)+\varepsilon$ unless we can bound N uniformly from above by a function of α.

In order to do this, we introduce an additional definition. We say that a representation $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ is *B-restricted* if the following three conditions hold.

(i) $M(\alpha_1)\cdots M(\alpha_N) \le B$
(ii) $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ for all n
(iii) At most one element α_n is a root of unity.

We write $R_B(\alpha)$ to denote the set of all N-tuples, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, of non-zero algebraic numbers that form B-restricted representations of α. Further, set

$$q(\alpha) = \inf\left\{H(x) : x \in K_\alpha^\times \setminus \mathrm{Tor}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times)\right\}$$

and note that, by Northcott's Theorem [6], this quantity is always strictly greater than 1. Using these definitions, we obtain the result we need to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let α be a non-zero algebraic number and $B \ge 1$. If $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ is an B-restricted representation of α then*

$$N \le 1 + \frac{\log B}{\log q(\alpha)}.$$

Moreover, the set

$$\left\{\prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N},\ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha)\right\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ is an B-restricted representation. By assumption, at least $N-1$ of the terms α_n in our representation are not roots of unity. Assume α_n is one such element. Lemma 3.1 implies that there exists a point $\gamma_n \in K_\alpha$ such that

$$M(\alpha_n) = H(\gamma_n). \tag{3.4}$$

Since α_n is not a root of unity, neither side of (3.4) equals 1, so that γ_n is not a root of unity either. Therefore, we find that $M(\alpha_n) \geq q(\alpha)$ for $N-1$ of the terms belonging to $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\}$. This yields

$$B \geq M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N) \geq q(\alpha)^{N-1}.$$

We know that $q(\alpha) > 1$ so that we may divide by $\log q(\alpha)$ to obtain

$$N \leq 1 + \frac{\log B}{\log q(\alpha)} \tag{3.5}$$

verifying the first statement of the lemma. We now find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : \ N \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha) \right\} \\
&\qquad = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha), \ N \leq 1 + \frac{\log B}{\log q(\alpha)} \right\} \\
&\qquad \subseteq \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : \ N \leq 1 + \frac{\log B}{\log q(\alpha)}, \ M(\alpha_n) \leq B, \ \alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

which is finite by Lemma 3.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.2, we may select $B > M_1(\alpha)$ such that

$$(M_1(\alpha), B) \bigcap \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_{M_1(\alpha)+1}(\alpha) \right\} = \emptyset.$$

Of course, we may choose $B \leq M_1(\alpha) + 1$ which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha) \right\} \\
&\qquad \subseteq \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_{M_1(\alpha)+1}(\alpha) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$(M_1(\alpha), B) \bigcap \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} M(\alpha_n) : N \in \mathbb{N}, \ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha) \right\} = \emptyset. \tag{3.6}$$

By definition of M_1, there exists a representation $\alpha = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_L$ such that

$$M_1(\alpha) \leq M(\gamma_1) \cdots M(\gamma_L) < B.$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that there exists a representation $\alpha = \zeta \beta_1 \cdots \beta_L$ with ζ a root of unity, each element β_ℓ belonging to $\mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ and $M(\beta_\ell) \leq M(\gamma_\ell)$ for all ℓ. This yields

$$M_1(\alpha) \leq M(\zeta) M(\beta_1) \cdots M(\beta_L) < B.$$

By combining all roots of unity in the representation into a single element, we obtain a new representation $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ having $\alpha_n \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$, at most one root of unity, and

$$M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N) = M(\beta_1) \cdots M(\beta_L).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$M_1(\alpha) \leq M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N) < B \tag{3.7}$$

which implies, in particular, that $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \in R_B(\alpha)$. Then by (3.6) we get that

$$M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N) \notin (M_1(\alpha), B). \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, combining (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain $M_1(\alpha) = M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N)$. □

4. The location of $M_1(\alpha)$ and $M_\infty(\alpha)$

We now apply Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in order to show that $M_1(\alpha)$ and $M_\infty(\alpha)$ belong to K_α. We begin with M_∞ in which case we are able to prove a slightly stronger result.

Theorem 4.1. *If α is an algebraic number then there exists $\beta \in K_\alpha$ such that $M_\infty(\alpha) = M(\beta)$. In particular, $M_\infty(\alpha) \in K_\alpha$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ and

$$M_\infty(\alpha) = \max\{M(\alpha_1), \ldots, M(\alpha_N)\}.$$

For each n, Lemma 3.1 implies that there exists a root of unity ζ_n and $L_n, S_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$M(\alpha_n) = M(\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n})^{S_n}$$

and $\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n} \in K_\alpha$. For simplicity, we write

$$L = \prod_{n=1}^{N} L_n \quad \text{and} \quad J_n = \prod_{k \neq n} L_k$$

so that $L = L_n J_n$ for all n. Then we obtain immediately

$$\alpha^L = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n^{L_n J_n}$$

so there exists a root of unity ζ such that

$$\zeta \alpha^L = \prod_{n=1}^{N} (\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n})^{J_n}.$$

By Theorem 1.3 of [4] we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_\infty(\alpha) &= M_\infty(\zeta \alpha^L) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{M(\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n})\} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{M(\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n})^{S_n}\} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{M(\alpha_n)\} \\ &= M_\infty(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that $M_\infty(\alpha) = \max_{1\le n\le N}\{M(\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n})\}$. As we have noted, each element $\zeta_n \alpha_n^{L_n}$ belongs to K_α completing the proof of the first statement.

Now we have that $M_\infty(\alpha) = M(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in K_\alpha$. Since K_α is Galois, it must contain all conjugates of β over $\mathbb{Q}$, and therefore, it contains the product of all roots outside the unit circle. This product is a real number so K_α must contain its absolute value. Hence we get that $M_\infty(\alpha) \in K_\alpha$. □

In the case of M_1, we cannot establish a result as strong as Theorem 4.1, but we can prove an analog of its second statement.

Theorem 4.2. *If α is an algebraic number then $M_1(\alpha) \in K_\alpha$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we know that there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \in \mathrm{Rad}(K_\alpha)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_N$ and

$$M_1(\alpha) = M(\alpha_1) \cdots M(\alpha_N).$$

According to Lemma 3.1, for each n there exists an algebraic number $\gamma_n \in K_\alpha$ and a positive integer S_n such that $M(\alpha_n) = M(\gamma_n)^{S_n}$. Each conjugate of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ must belong to the Galois extension K_α, which implies that $M(\gamma_n) \in K_\alpha$ for all n. It follows that $M_1(\alpha) \in K_\alpha$. □

References

[1] E. Dobrowolski, *On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Acta Arith. **34** (1979), no. 4, 391–401.

[2] A. Dubickas and C.J. Smyth, *On the metric Mahler measure*, J. Number Theory **86** (2001), 368–387.

[3] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1991).

[4] P. Fili and C.L. Samuels, *On the non-Archimedean metric Mahler measure*, preprint (2008).

[5] D.H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Ann. of Math. **34** (1933), 461–479.

[6] D.G. Northcott, *An inequality on the theory of arithmetic on algebraic varieties*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **45** (1949), 502–509.

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: csamuels@mpim-bonn.mpg.de